Application Ability of Students in Integrated Computer-Aided Numerical Analysis Learning

Kosim¹ ¹Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Komputer Poltek Cirebon, Indonesia

Article Info	ABSTRACT
Article Info <i>Keywords:</i> Application Ability Integrated Learning Numerical analysis courses	 ABSTRACT The low ability of students to apply in numerical analysis courses is a problem in this research. Integrated learning is one solution to this problem. The aim is to determine the differences in student application abilities between integrated and conventional learning. One of the computer science colleges in the Cirebon area was sampled in this study. Two groups were formed, consisting of 1 integrated study group with a total of 36 students and one conventional study group with a total of 32 students. Both groups contracted numerical analysis courses. The type of quasi-experimental research was carried out, and the static group comparison randomized control group only design became the design in this study. The result is that the average value of the application ability of students who study conventionally is 80.31, while the average application ability of students who study integrated is higher than students who study integrated is more uniform than students who study conventionally. The results of the Mann-Whitney test found that the application ability of students who studied in an integrated manner was better than those who studied conventionally.
	This is an open-access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license. $\begin{array}{c} \textcircled{\textbf{CC BY-SA}} \\ \hline \hline$
Corresponding Author:	
Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Komputer Email: kosim@stikompoltek.ac.	Poltek Cirebon, Indonesia id

1. INTRODUCTION

The ability of students to apply mathematics in connecting mathematics with computer science is still low, which makes this research carried out [1]–[6]. This is caused by students who do not know much about the relationship between mathematics and the computer science they are studying. Weak basic mathematical abilities are a barrier for students in understanding computer science [7]–[10]; not a few students think there is no

link between mathematics and computer science, and students lack the application of mathematics in the computer science they are studying. Therefore, this study aims to determine the difference in the application ability of numerical analysis students using computer-assisted integrated learning compared to students who study conventionally.

In Bloom's taxonomy, applicability is a cognitive ability that expects students to demonstrate their understanding of mathematical abstractions through proper use when asked to do so [11]–[13]. To demonstrate this ability, a student must be able to choose and use what they have appropriately according to the situation in front of him [14]–[17]. The development of mathematical concepts, in this case, numerical analysis, should not be limited to the topics discussed but should also be linked to relevant topics, even with other fields of study, if possible, in an integrated manner.

Integrated learning is learning that focuses on learning approaches between topics and even between disciplines. The concept of integrated learning allows students to be learners, and the process involves the development of student thinking [18]–[25]. This is supported by one of the mathematical principles according to NCTM [26] that mathematics is a relationship. This means that the mathematical concepts of numerical analysis relate to the computer science concepts students are studying. The benefits of this integrated learning include: (a) more awareness of the importance and strategic importance of mathematics for other fields of science, (b) a better understanding of the role of mathematics in life, and (c) better ability to think logically, critically, and systematically, and (d)) more creative and innovative in finding solutions to solve a problem.

The use of computers in the world of education is used for learning has expanded and reached various interests, significantly improving the quality of learning. Computerassisted integrated learning, such as visual basic, Borland, Microsoft excel, and Mathematica, is used in learning that links numerical analysis material with the use of computers as one of the skills of informatics engineering students. Computer-assisted learning, or what is known as Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI), is learning that uses computers as a learning tool to improve the quality of learning [27]. The quality of learning can be seen and observed by analyzing student abilities. The student's ability is the ability to apply. This ability is one of the measures analyzed in this study.

2. METHOD

This research is quasi-experimental. According to Ruseffendi [28], experimental research is generally conducted to compare two or more groups and use specific statistical

measures. In this quasi-experimental study, the subjects were not randomly grouped but selected based on naturally formed groups.

The research design used was the static group comparison randomized control group only design [29]. This design was chosen because the researcher assumed that the subjects were not grouped randomly, but the researcher accepted the subject's condition as simple as that. In this study, there are also different treatments and posttests. In the following, the research design of the static group comparison randomized control group only design is presented.

Experiment Class	Х	Ο
Control Class		0
Information:		

O : Postest

X : Numerical Analysis Integrated Learning

--- : Subjects are not grouped randomly

The population in this proposed research is all College of Computer Science students, while the sample taken is makeshift, namely students of the Cirebon Polytechnic College of Computer Science who contracted a numerical analysis course. The sample of this study used two classes consisting of an experimental class that studied integrated learning. The number of students who participated in the experimental class was 36, while the number of students who participated in the control class was 32. The teaching materials were used as student assignment sheets and carried out for seven meetings. Students are given assignment sheets to solve equations in the computer-assisted numerical analysis as a skill possessed by informatics engineering students.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Following the design of the research conducted, the results obtained in the form of the average value of the application ability of students who study conventionally is 80.31, while the average application ability of students who study in an integrated manner is 84.58. This shows that the average application ability of students who study conventionally. In addition, the value of the application ability of students who study in an integrated manner is 3.46, and the value of the application ability of students who study conventionally is 4.91. This

means that the ability to apply students who study in an integrated manner is more uniform than those who study conventionally.

		EKSPERIME	KONTROL
		IN .	KUNIKUL
N		36	32
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	84,5833	80,31250
	Std. Deviation	3,45894	4,908436
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	,326	,268
	Positive	,285	,173
	Negative	-,326	-,268
Test Statistic		,326	,268
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		°000,	,000°

Table 1. Data of Mean Value, Standard Deviation, and Normality

Table 2. V	ariance H	lomogeneity	Test Data
------------	-----------	-------------	-----------

		Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
Kemampuan Penerapan	Based on Mean	8,217	1	66	,006
	Based on Median	8,927	1	66	,004
	Based on Median and with adjusted df	8,927	1	65,919	,004
	Based on trimmed mean	9,089	1	66	,004

Table 1 and Table 2 provide information that the sample from the population is not normally distributed, and the data used has a non-homogeneous variance. This is because the significance value calculated by computing 0.000 and 0.006 is smaller than the specified error level of 5%. To determine whether the application ability of students who study in an integrated manner is better than students who study conventionally, the Mann-Whitney test is carried out because the sample used is not normally distributed and has a non-homogeneous variance. The results of the Mann-Whitney test can be seen in Table 1.3 below.

Table 3. Mann Whitney Test Data

	Kemampuan Penerapan
Mann-Whitney U	306,000
Wilcoxon W	834,000
Z	-3,624
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	,000,

Table 3 provides information that the application ability of students who study in an integrated manner is better than students who study conventionally. This can be seen from the computer's half-significance value of 0.000, which is smaller than the specified half-level error value of 0.025. This means that the null hypothesis cannot be accepted significantly. So, students who study numerical analysis using computer-assisted integrated learning have better application skills than students who study conventionally. This is because students who study in an integrated manner are required to solve problems with student learning of programming in making computer programs to complete the numerical analysis. Two different knowledge disciplines, mathematics, and computers, are challenged to apply their knowledge to solve these mathematical problems, namely finding solutions to non-linear equations with their knowledge.

As the result of this integrated learning, students can add to what has been previously obtained by solving these mathematical problems, students can get something new in making programming language syntax to solve these mathematical problems, significantly solving non-linear equations, and students are more familiar with making computer programming with solve math problems. This is supported by Erman Suherman [30], [31] that integrated learning influences students, namely (a) more aware of the importance and strategies for other fields of science, (b) a better understanding of the role of mathematics in life, (c) better able to think logistics, critical, and systematic, and (d) more creative and innovative in finding solutions to problem-solving. The results of solving the non-linear equation problem combined with computer programming can be seen in the figure below.

materiau	ka Numerik								
Nilai a : 0.7	73908424377	7441.					0.000004		
Nilai b : 0.7	73908519744	1873	C	JOS (X) -	X=0 [u, ij e	= 0,000001		
	73908519744	487					Selang Baru :	a.c	
(a) : 1.4	4885784405	116					lebar :	9.5367431607318	
(b) -1.	.7035832661	216							
(c) -1.	.0750207590	781							
···									
а	b	с	f(a)	f(b)	f(c)	selang	lebar		
a 0.734375	b 0.74218	c 0.73828	f(a) 0.00787	f(b) -0.0051	f(c) 0.00134	selang c.b	lebar 0.00390		^
a 0.734375 0.73828	b 0.74218 0.74218	c 0.73828 0.74023	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019	selang c.b a.c	lebar 0.00390 0.00195		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002	selang c.b a.c a.c	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097		^
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.73876	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052	selang c,b a,c a,c c,b	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.00048		
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828 0.73876	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925 0.73925	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.73876 0.73901	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134 0.00052	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002 -0.0002	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052 0.00011	selang c.b a.c a.c c.b c.b	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.00048 0.00024		
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828 0.73876 0.73901	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925 0.73925 0.73925	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.73876 0.73901 0.73913	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134 0.00052 0.00011	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002 -0.0002 -0.0002	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052 0.00011 -8.4700	selang c.b a.c c.b c.b c.b a.c	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.00048 0.00024 0.00024		
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828 0.73876 0.73901 0.73901	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925 0.73925 0.73925 0.73925 0.73913	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.73876 0.73901 0.73913 0.73907	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134 0.00052 0.00011 0.00011	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002 -0.0002 -0.0002 -8.4700	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052 0.00011 -8.4700 1.74493	selang c.b a.c c.b c.b c.b a.c c.b	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.00048 0.00024 0.00012 6.10351		
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828 0.73876 0.73901 0.73901 0.73907	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925 0.73925 0.73925 0.73925 0.73913 0.73913	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.73876 0.73901 0.73913 0.73907 0.73910	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134 0.00052 0.00011 0.00011 1.74493	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002 -0.0002 -0.0002 -8.4700 -8.4700	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052 0.00011 -8.4700 1.74493 -3.3625	selang c.b a.c c.b c.b c.b a.c c.b a.c a.c	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.00048 0.00024 0.00012 6.10351 3.05175		
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828 0.73876 0.73901 0.73901 0.73907 0.73907	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925 0.73925 0.73913 0.73913 0.73913	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.73901 0.73901 0.73907 0.73907 0.73908	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134 0.00052 0.00011 0.00011 1.74493 1.74493	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002 -0.0002 -8.4700 -8.4700 -3.3625	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052 0.00011 -8.4700 1.74493 -3.3625 -8.0879	selang c.b a.c c.b c.b a.c c.b a.c c.b a.c a.c	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.00048 0.00012 6.10351 3.05175 1.52587		F
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828 0.73876 0.73901 0.73901 0.73907 0.73907 0.73907 0.73907	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925 0.73925 0.73913 0.73913 0.73913 0.73910 0.73908	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.73901 0.73901 0.73907 0.73907 0.73908 0.73908	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134 0.00052 0.00011 0.00011 1.74493 1.74493 1.74493	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002 -0.0002 -8.4700 -8.4700 -3.3625 -8.0879	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052 0.00011 -8.4700 1.74493 -3.3625 -8.0879 4.68073	selang c.b a.c c.b c.b a.c c.b a.c c.b a.c c.b a.c c.b	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.00048 0.00048 0.00012 6.10351 3.05175 1.52587 7.62339		r
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828 0.73826 0.73807 0.73907 0.73907 0.73907 0.73907 0.73908	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925 0.73925 0.73925 0.73913 0.73913 0.73910 0.73908 0.73908	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.73876 0.73901 0.73913 0.73910 0.73908 0.73908 0.73908	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134 0.00052 0.00011 1.74493 1.74493 1.74493 4.68073	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002 -0.0002 -0.0002 -8.4700 -8.4700 -3.3625 -8.0879 -8.0879	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052 0.00011 -8.4700 1.74493 -3.3625 -8.0879 4.68073 -1.7035	selang c.b a.c c.b c.b c.b a.c c.b a.c c.b a.c c.b a.c a.c a.c a.c c.b a.c c.b c.b a.c c.b c.b c.b c.b c.b c.b c.b c	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.00043 0.00012 6.10351 3.05175 1.52587 7.62939 3.81469		·
a 0.734375 0.73828 0.73828 0.73828 0.73876 0.73901 0.73907 0.73907 0.73907 0.73907 0.73908	b 0.74218 0.74218 0.74023 0.73925 0.73925 0.73925 0.73913 0.73913 0.73910 0.73910 0.73908 0.73908 0.73908	c 0.73828 0.74023 0.73925 0.7397 0.73901 0.73901 0.73901 0.73908 0.73908 0.73908 0.73908	f(a) 0.00787 0.00134 0.00134 0.00134 0.00052 0.00011 1.74493 1.74493 1.74493 4.68073 4.68073	f(b) -0.0051 -0.0051 -0.0019 -0.0002 -0.0002 -0.0002 -8.4700 -8.4700 -8.4700 -8.80879 -8.0879 -1.7035	f(c) 0.00134 -0.0019 -0.0002 0.00052 0.00011 -8.4700 -3.3625 -8.0879 -4.68073 -1.7035 -1.70357	selang c,b a,c c,b c,b a,c c,b a,c c,b a,c c,b a,c c,b	lebar 0.00390 0.00195 0.00097 0.0004 0.00012 6.10351 3.06175 1.52587 7.62939 3.81469 1.90734		

Figure 1. Integrated Learning Outcomes 1

In the picture above, the student solved the non-linear equation $\cos(x) - x = 0$ with an initial value of [0,1], an error of 0.000001, and solved by the bisection method. The root of the equation found by students who studied integrated learning assisted by Visual Basic was 0.73908.

_									
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	l l
1	Iterasi	а	b	m	f(a)	f(b)	f(m)	selang baru	f(m)<0.000001
2	0	0,000000	1,000000	0,304718	1,000000	-2,281718	0,891976	m,b	Lanjut
3	1	0,304718	1,000000	0,500129	0,891976	-2,281718	0,398287	m,b	Lanjut
4	2	0,500129	1,000000	0,574417	0,398287	-2,281718	0,126319	m,b	Lanjut
5	3	0,574417	1,000000	0,596742	0,126319	-2,281718	0,035686	m,b	Lanjut
6	4	0,596742	1,000000	0,602952	0,035686	-2,281718	0,009750	m,b	Lanjut
7	5	0,602952	1,000000	0,604641	0,009750	-2,281718	0,002639	m,b	Lanjut
8	6	0,604641	1,000000	0,605098	0,002639	-2,281718	0,000713	m,b	Lanjut
9	7	0,605098	1,000000	0,605222	0,000713	-2,281718	0,000192	m,b	Lanjut
10	8	0,605222	1,000000	0,605255	0,000192	-2,281718	0,000052	m,b	Lanjut
11	9	0,605255	1,000000	0,605264	0,000052	-2,281718	0,000014	m,b	Lanjut
12	10	0,605264	1,000000	0,605266	0,000014	-2,281718	0,000004	m,b	Lanjut
13	11	0,605266	1,000000	0,605267	0,000004	-2,281718	0,000001	m,b	Lanjut
14	12	0,605267	1,000000	0,605267	0,000001	-2,281718	0,000000	m,b	Stop

Figure 2. Integrated Learning Outcomes 2

In figure 2 above, the student solved the non-linear equation $e^x - 5x^2 = 0$ with an initial value of [0,1], an error of 0.000001, and solved by the false position method. The root of the equation found by students who studied integrated with the help of Microsoft Excel was 0.605267.

$$\label{eq:lin23} \begin{split} & \text{PindRoot}[\text{Exp}[x] - 5 \star x^2, \{x, 0, 1\}, \text{MaxIterations} \rightarrow 20] \\ & \text{Out}[23] = \{x \rightarrow 0.605267\} \\ & \text{In}[24] = \text{Subtract}[0.6052671213146185^{\circ}, 0.605263] \\ & \text{Out}[24] = 4.12131 \times 10^{-6} \end{split}$$

Figure 3. Integrated Learning Outcomes 3

In figure 3 above, the student solved the non-linear equation $e^x - 5x^2 = 0$ with an initial value of [0,1], an error of 0.000001. The root of the equation found by students who study integrated with the help of Mathematica 6.0 is 0.605267. Some of the integrated learning outcomes above reflect that this learning aligns with students' abilities to explore their knowledge, especially those based on information technology.

4. CONCLUSION

This research has several conclusions: the average value of the application ability of students who study conventionally is 80.31, while the average application ability of students who study in an integrated manner is 84.58. The application ability of students who study integrated is higher than students who study conventionally, and the application ability of students who study integrated is more uniform than students who study conventionally. The results of the Mann-Whitney test found that the application ability of students who studied in an integrated manner was better than those who studied conventionally.

REFERENCES

- Y. W. Lin, C. L. Tseng, and P. J. Chiang, "The effect of blended learning in mathematics course," *Eurasia J. Math. Sci. Technol. Educ.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 741–770, 2017, doi: 10.12973/eurasia.2017.00641a.
- [2] A. Qohar, "Mathematical Communication: What and How to Develop It In Mathematics Learning?," *Int. Semin. Fourth Natl. Conf. Math. Educ.*, pp. 1–12, 2011, [Online]. Available: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/11058861.pdf.
- K. Das, "Role of ICT for better Mathematics Teaching," *Shanlax Int. J. Educ.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 19–28, 2019, doi: 10.34293/education.v7i4.641.
- [4] D. P. Sari, R. A. Sukmawati, and I. Zulkarnain, "The Relationship Between Mathematical Ability and Programming Ability of Computer Science Education Students," in *1st International Conference on Creativity, Innovation, Technology in Education (IC-CITE 2018)*, 2018, no. February 2020, doi: 10.2991/iccite-18.2018.12.
- [5] M. Saiful Bakhril Amin and N. Rachmani Dewi, "Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research Connected Mathematics Ability Seen from Student Cognitive Style on STAD-Peer Tutoring Learning Model," UJMER, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 93–99, 2020, [Online]. Available: http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/ujmer.
- [6] R. M. Bottino, P. Forcheri, F. Furinghetti, and M. T. Molfino, "An attempt to integrate mathematics and computer science in high school," in *Proceedings of the 38th CIEAEM's meeting*, 1987, no. July 1986, pp. 115–120.
- [7] D. D. Agyei and J. Voogt, "ICT use in the teaching of mathematics: Implications for professional development of pre-service teachers in Ghana," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 423–439, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s10639-010-9141-9.
- [8] M. Y. Mazana, C. S. Montero, and R. O. Casmir, "Investigating Students' Attitude towards Learning Mathematics," *Int. Electron. J. Math. Educ.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 207–231, 2018, doi: 10.29333/iejme/3997.
- J. A. Ejiwale, "Barriers To Successful Implementation of STEM Education," *J. Educ. Learn.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 63–74, 2013, doi: 10.11591/edulearn.v7i2.220.
- M. Muilu *et al.*, "What Are the Barriers for Teaching Computational Thinking?," *INTED2021 Proc.*, vol. 1, no. May, pp. 5895–5901, 2021, doi: 10.21125/inted.2021.1184.
- J. Mason, "Mathematical Abstraction as the Result of a Delicate Shift of Attention," *Learn. Math.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 2–8, 1989, [Online]. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/40247947%5Cnhttp://www.jstor.org.proxy-um.researchport.umd.edu/stable/pdfplus/40247947.pdf?acceptTC=true.
- [12] H. Hendriana and N. Fitriani, "Mathematical Abstraction of Year 9 Students Using Realistic Mathematics Education Based on the Van Hiele Levels of Geometry," *J. Didakt. Mat.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2019, doi: 10.24815/jdm.v6i1.13285.
- [13] W. Widada, A. Agustina, S. Serlis, B. M. Dinata, and S. T. Hasari, "The abstraction ability of

students in understanding the concept of geometry," J. Phys. Conf. Ser., vol. 1318, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1318/1/012082.

- [14] V. F. Falentina, A. Muchyidin, and T. S. Nasehudin, "Van Hiele's Theory and Think Pair Share Cooperative Learning Model and Their Effect on Madrasah Tsanawiyah Student's Level of Mathematical Thinking," J. Gen. Educ. Humanit., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2022.
- [15] A. T. Rahayu, A. Muchyidin, and B. Manfaat, "The Application of The Guided Note-Taking (GNT) Learning Method and its Effect on Student's Understanding of Mathematics Concepts," *J. Gen. Educ. Humanit.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 12–20, 2022.
- I. S. Aminah, A. Muchyidin, and R. O. Akbar, "ARIAS Learning Model (Assurance, Relevance, Interest, Assessment, Satisfaction) And Their Effect on Madrasah Tsanawiyah Student Creativity," *J. Gen. Educ. Humanit.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 39–46, 2022.
- [17] A. Muthik, A. Muchyidin, and A. R. Persada, "The Effectiveness Of Students' Learning Motivation On Learning Outcomes Using The Reciprocal Teaching Learning Model," *J. Gen. Educ. Humanit.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 21–30, 2022.
- [18] J. Nielsen and R. Livernoche, "The Indigenous Work-integrated Learning Resource Hub : A needsbased approach to addressing barriers and opportunities for Indigenous students," *2International J. Work. Learn.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 139–152, 2022.
- [19] N. Arney, "A relational understanding of learning : Supporting Indigenous work-integrated learning students," *Int. J. Work. Learn.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 153–167, 2022.
- [20] L. Lopriore, "Reframing teaching knowledge in Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL): A European perspective," *Lang. Teach. Res.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 94–104, 2020, doi: 10.1177/1362168818777518.
- [21] N. Harr, A. Eichler, and A. Renkl, "Integrated learning: Ways of fostering the applicability of teachers' pedagogical and psychological knowledge," *front. Psychol.*, vol. 6, no. JUN, pp. 1–16, 2015, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00738.
- [22] B. A. Dean and M. J. Eady, "Local Indigenous perspectives and partnerships: Enhancing workintegrated learning Project DARE View project Tokai Project View project," *Int. J. Work. Learn.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 129–137, 2022, [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361119348.
- [23] J. B. Leonard, "Integrative learning: A grounded theory," *Issues Integr. Stud.*, vol. 30, no. 30, pp. 48– 74, 2012.
- [24] C. Dalton-Puffer, "Content-and-language integrated learning: From practice to principles?," *Annu. Rev. Appl. Linguist.*, vol. 31, no. January 2016, pp. 182–204, 2011, doi: 10.1017/S0267190511000092.
- [25] M. Syaeful *et al.*, "Development of Nested-Integrated Learning Model in Indonesian Subjects Based on 21 st Century Learning Article Info," *Innov. J. Curric. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 10–16, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/ujet/article/view/33130.
- [26] NCTM, Principles and Standards For School Mathematics. Reston, VA: NCTM, 2000.
- [27] Rusman, Model- model Pembelajaran. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada, 2012.
- [28] E. . Ruseffendi, Dasar-dasar Penelitian Pendidikan dan Bidang Non-Eksakta Lainnya. Bandung:

Tarsito, 2005.

- [29] Subana and Sudrajat, *Dasar-Dasar Penelitian Ilmiah*. Bandung: Pustaka Setia, 2003.
- [30] E. Suherman, *Stategi Belajar Mengajar Matematika Kontemporer*. Bandung: JICA-Univesrtisa Pendidikan Indonesia (UPI), 2001.
- [31] E. Suherman, *Strategi Pembelajaran Matematika Kontemporer*. Bandung: JICA, 2003.